Multilateral CSA Notice 45-318 Prospectus Exemption for Certain Distributions through an Investment Dealer

January 14, 2016

Introduction

The securities regulatory authorities in British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan, Manitoba and New Brunswick (the **participating jurisdictions** or **we**) are each adopting a prospectus exemption (**exemption**) that, subject to certain conditions, allows issuers listed on a Canadian exchange to raise money by distributing securities to investors who have obtained advice about the suitability of the investment from an investment dealer.

The participating jurisdictions have adopted the exemption by way of

- BC Instrument 45-536 Exemption from prospectus requirement for certain distributions through an investment dealer in British Columbia;
- General Order 45-930 Exemption from prospectus requirement for certain distributions through an investment dealer in Saskatchewan;
- Blanket Order 45-503 Exemption from prospectus requirement for certain distributions through an investment dealer in Manitoba;
- Blanket Order 45-508 Exemption from the Prospectus Requirement for Certain Distributions through an Investment Dealer in New Brunswick; and
- Alberta Securities Commission Rule 45-516 *Prospectus Exemptions for Retail Investors and Existing Security Holders* in Alberta (**Alberta rule**).

The exemption will be effective in each participating jurisdiction concurrently with, or as soon as possible after, this notice. Each participating jurisdiction has attached their form of exemption as Annex A to this notice.

The Alberta rule also repeals ASC Rule 45-513 *Exemption for Distribution to Existing Security Holders* consolidating the existing security holder exemption (**ESE**) and the exemption in a single rule.

Substance and purpose

The purpose of the exemption is to facilitate capital raising for listed issuers and foster participation of retail investors in private placements, while maintaining appropriate investor protection.

The exemption permits listed issuers to issue listed securities to an investor that has obtained advice about the suitability of the investment from an investment dealer, subject to a number of conditions. The key conditions are:

- the issuer must be a reporting issuer in at least one jurisdiction of Canada and have a class of equity securities listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange, the TSX Venture Exchange, the Canadian Securities Exchange or Aequitas Neo Exchange Inc.;
- the issuer must have filed all timely and periodic disclosure documents as required under the continuous disclosure requirements in our securities legislation;
- the offering can consist only of a listed security, a unit consisting of a listed security and a warrant to acquire another listed security, or another security convertible into a listed security at the security holder's sole discretion;
- the news release announcing the offering must
 - o disclose, in reasonable detail, the distribution, including use of proceeds, and any material fact not yet generally disclosed, and
 - o include a statement that there is no material fact or material change about the issuer that has not been generally disclosed;
- the investor must obtain advice regarding the suitability of the investment from an investment dealer;
- in British Columbia, Saskatchewan, Manitoba and New Brunswick, the investor must be provided with a contractual right of action in the event of a misrepresentation in the issuer's continuous disclosure record regardless of whether the investor relied on the misrepresentation. In Alberta, purchasers are afforded a statutory right of action under Part 17.01 of the *Securities Act* (Alberta); and
- although an offering document is not required, if an issuer voluntarily provides one, an investor will have certain rights of action in the event of a misrepresentation in it.

The first trade of securities issued under the exemption will be subject to resale restrictions under section 2.5 of National Instrument 45-102 *Resale of Securities* like most other capital raising prospectus exemptions. In addition, issuers will have to file a report of exempt distribution within 10 days after each distribution under the exemption.

This is only an exemption from the prospectus requirement. There is no corresponding exemption from the dealer registration requirement. In general, issuers with an active non-securities business do not have to register as a dealer because they are not in the business of trading. See the guidance in Companion Policy 31-103 CP *Registration Requirements*, *Exemptions and Ongoing Registrant Obligations*.

Background

The securities regulatory authorities in British Columbia, New Brunswick and Saskatchewan published the exemption for comment on April 16, 2015 (the **April publication**). For additional background on the exemption, please refer to the notice we published on April 16, 2015.

The Alberta Securities Commission published the exemption for comment on September 10, 2015 (the **September publication**). For additional background on the Alberta rule, please refer to the notice published on September 10, 2015.

Summary of written comments received by the participating jurisdictions

The comment period for the April publication ended June 15, 2015. Submissions from 231 commenters were received.

The comment period for the September publication ended November 9, 2015. Submissions from six commenters were received.

We considered the comments received and thank all of the commenters for their input. The list of commenters for the April publication is in Annex B to this notice and a summary of comments for the April publication is contained in Annex C to this notice. The list of commenters and a summary of comments for the September publication is contained in Annex D to this notice.

The comment letters on the September publication can be viewed on the Alberta Securities Commission website at www.albertasecurities.com. They are attached to the notice of the September publication.

Summary of changes to the proposal

After considering the comments, we did not make any material changes to the exemption.

Annexes

- A. Form of exemption in local jurisdiction
- B. List of commenters on the April publication
- C. Summary of comments received on the April publication
- D. List of commenters and summary of comments received on the September publication

Questions

Please refer your questions to any of the following:

Leslie Rose Senior, Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance British Columbia Securities Commission Telephone: 604-899-6654

lrose@bcsc.bc.ca

Larissa Streu Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance British Columbia Securities Commission

Telephone: 604-899-6888

lstreu@bcsc.bc.ca

Elliott Mak

Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance British Columbia Securities Commission

Telephone: 604-899-6501

emak@bcsc.bc.ca

Christopher Peng

Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance Alberta Securities Commission Telephone: 403-297-4230 christopher.peng@asc.ca

Tony Herdzik

Deputy Director, Corporate Finance, Securities Division Financial and Consumer Affairs Authority of Saskatchewan

Telephone: 306-787-5849 tony.herdzik@gov.sk.ca

Chris Besko

Director, General Counsel The Manitoba Securities Commission

Telephone: 204-945-2561 Chris.Besko@gov.mb.ca

Ella-Jane Loomis

Legal Counsel, Securities

Financial and Consumer Services Commission (New Brunswick)

Telephone: 506-658-2602 Ella-jane.loomis@fcnb.ca

Annex A

ALBERTA SECURITIES COMMISSION RULE 45-516 PROSPECTUS EXEMPTIONS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS AND EXISTING SECURITY HOLDERS

Definitions

- **1.** Terms defined in National Instrument 14-101 *Definitions* have the same meaning in this Rule.
- 2. In this Rule

"announcement date" is the day that an issuer issues an offering news release;

"investment dealer" has the same meaning as in section 1.1 of National Instrument 31-103 Registration Requirements, Exemptions and Ongoing Registrant Obligations;

"listed security" means a security of an issuer of a class of equity security listed on the TSX Venture Exchange, the Toronto Stock Exchange, the Canadian Securities Exchange, or Aequitas Neo Exchange Inc.;

"offering material" means a document purporting to describe the business and affairs of an issuer that has been prepared primarily for delivery to and review by a prospective purchaser so as to assist the prospective purchaser to make an investment decision in respect of securities being sold in a distribution under this Instrument;

"offering news release" means a news release issued by an issuer announcing its intention to conduct a distribution under either or both of section 3 and section 4 of this Rule;

"record date" is the date that is at least one day prior to the announcement date;

"warrant" means a purchase warrant issued by an issuer that entitles the holder to acquire a listed security or a fraction of a listed security of the same issuer.

Exemption for Sales to Existing Security Holders

- 3. The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an issuer of a security of its own issue to a security holder of the issuer purchasing as principal if all of the following applies:
 - (a) the issuer is a reporting issuer in at least one jurisdiction of Canada with a class of equity securities listed on one or more of the TSX Venture Exchange, the Toronto Stock Exchange, the Canadian Securities Exchange and Aequitas Neo Exchange Inc.:

- (b) the issuer has filed in each jurisdiction of Canada in which it is a reporting issuer all periodic and timely disclosure documents that it is required to have filed in that jurisdiction as required by all of the following:
 - (i) applicable securities legislation;
 - (ii) an order issued by the regulator or securities regulatory authority of that jurisdiction;
 - (iii) an undertaking provided to the regulator or securities regulatory authority in that jurisdiction;
- (c) the issuer has issued and filed an offering news release describing in reasonable detail the proposed distribution, including, without limitation,
 - (i) the minimum and maximum number of securities proposed to be distributed under this section and the minimum and maximum aggregate gross proceeds of the distribution;
 - (ii) the proposed principal uses, including estimated dollar amounts, of the gross proceeds of the distribution, assuming both the minimum and maximum offering; and
 - (iii) a description of how the issuer intends to allocate securities if aggregate subscriptions for securities under the proposed distribution exceed the maximum number of securities proposed to be distributed;
- (d) subject to applicable securities legislation, the issuer permits each person or company who, as of the record date, held a listed security of the issuer of the same class and series as the listed security to be distributed under this section to subscribe for securities in the distribution;
- (e) the distribution is of a listed security or a unit comprised of a listed security and a warrant;
- (f) the purchaser has represented in writing to the issuer that, on or before the record date the purchaser acquired and continues to hold, a listed security of the issuer of the same class and series as the listed security to be distributed under this section;
- (g) neither the issuer nor any salesperson acting on behalf of the issuer in connection with the distribution under this section has any reason to reasonably believe that the purchaser's representation, referred to in paragraph (f), is untrue;
- (h) unless the purchaser has obtained advice regarding the suitability of the investment and, if the person is resident in a jurisdiction of Canada, that advice is from a person or company registered in the jurisdiction as an investment dealer,

the aggregate acquisition cost to the purchaser for the securities purchased under this section, when combined with the acquisition cost to the purchaser of all other securities of the issuer distributed under this section in the last 12 months, does not exceed \$15,000;

(i) the purchaser and the issuer enter into a subscription agreement that contains the representations set out in section 5.

Exemption for Sales to Purchasers Advised by Investment Dealers

- 4. The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an issuer of a security of its own issue to a purchaser purchasing as principal if all of the following applies:
 - (a) the issuer is a reporting issuer in at least one jurisdiction of Canada with a class of equity securities listed on one or more of the TSX Venture Exchange, the Toronto Stock Exchange, the Canadian Securities Exchange and Aequitas Neo Exchange Inc.;
 - (b) the issuer has filed in each jurisdiction of Canada in which it is a reporting issuer all periodic and timely disclosure documents that it is required to have filed in that jurisdiction as required by all of the following:
 - (i) applicable securities legislation;
 - (ii) an order issued by the regulator or securities regulatory authority in that jurisdiction;
 - (iii) an undertaking to the regulator or securities regulatory authority of that jurisdiction;
 - (c) the purchaser has obtained advice regarding the suitability of the investment and, if the person is resident in a jurisdiction of Canada, that advice is from a person or company registered in the jurisdiction as an investment dealer;
 - (d) the issuer has issued and filed an offering news release that:
 - (i) describes in reasonable detail the proposed distribution, including, without limitation.
 - A. the minimum and maximum number of securities proposed to be distributed under this section and the minimum and maximum aggregate gross proceeds of the distribution, and
 - B. the proposed principal uses, including estimated dollar amounts, of the gross proceeds of the distribution, assuming both the minimum and maximum offering, and

- (ii) includes a statement confirming that there is no material fact or material change related to the issuer which has not been generally disclosed;
- (e) the distribution is of a listed security, a unit comprised of a listed security and a warrant, or a security convertible into a listed security at the security holder's sole discretion;
- (f) the purchaser and the issuer enter into a subscription agreement that contains the representations set out in section 5.

Subscription Agreement

- 5. An issuer relying on either or both of section 3 and section 4 of this Rule must represent each of the following to each purchaser in the subscription agreement:
 - (a) the issuer's "core documents" and "documents", as those terms are defined in Part 17.01 of the *Securities Act* (Alberta), do not contain a misrepresentation;
 - (b) there is no material fact or material change related to the issuer which has not been generally disclosed.

Removal of Exemptions

6. The exemptions in section 3 and section 4 are not available if the class of listed security that is being distributed has been suspended from trading for failure to comply with the ongoing requirements of the applicable exchange.

Offering Material

7. Other than the subscription agreement, any offering material provided to a purchaser in connection with a distribution under section 3 or section 4, must be filed no later than the day that the offering material is first provided to a purchaser.

Resale Restrictions

8. The first trade of a security acquired under section 3 or section 4 is subject to section 2.5 of National Instrument 45-102 *Resale of Securities*.

Report of Exempt Distribution

9. On or before the 10th day after a distribution under either or both of section 3 and section 4, the issuer must file a report of the distribution that complies with Form 45-106F1 *Report of Exempt Distribution*.

Application of Statutory Secondary Market Civil Liability Provisions to this Rule

10. Part 17.01 of the *Securities Act* (Alberta) applies to a security distributed under section 3 or section 4.

Repeal of ASC Rule 45-513

- **11.** Alberta Securities Commission Rule 45-513 *Exemption for Distribution to Existing Security Holders* is repealed effective January 14, 2016.
- **12.** This Rule comes into force on January 14, 2016.

Annex B List of Commenters

Peter Bacsalmasi Erica Tso **David Kearnes** Ivano Veschini Ian Frame Tom Cunningham Lyle McLellan Trish Hodgson **Darrin Hopkins Kevin Guichon** Jim Pettit Alan Tam PI Financial Corp. Don Mosher Payton Nyguvest Donald C. Huston W. Brent Walker Brian E. Sims C. Michael O'Brian Robert A. Sim

Young Xiao The Canadian Advocacy Council for Mark Redcliffe Canadian CFA Institute Societies

Shane Parhar Wayne Workun

Fiore Financial Corporation Catherine McLeod-Seltzer

Edward Bodnarkchuk
Randy Hildebrandt
Aaron Plaxton
Brodie Dunlop
Kyle Welder
Andrew MacGillivray
Jeff Willis
Mike Dunlop
Thomas Relling
Shawn Rutledge
Bernard Leroux
Oonna McPherson
Gary Bogdanovich

Bill Whitehead Michael Bebek

Todd Eymann Investment Industry Association of Canada

Elsie Emes Lee S. Tupper P.L .C.
Anthony Fierro Aaron Pauliuk
Gerry Thompson Adam Garvin

Arlene Barkun Cain

Wolverton Securities Ltd.

EAIR (Consider Foundation

Bryan Henry FAIR (Canadian Foundation for

Mark DeCotiis
Michael A. Cochran
Carlo Aiello
Paul Vining
Marion L. Andrus
Alex Balfour

Mike Marosits
Justin Hayek
Chan Buckland
Steve Wright
Robert Caie
Gary Winters
John Coletta
Bruce Winfield
Len Cuthbert
Jordan Trimble
Robert Disbrow
John R. Nelson
Enrico Giustra

Devinder Randhawa John K. Jarvis
Norman Epp Jonathan Jones
Tupper Jonsson & Yeadon C. Keefer Pitfield

David Garnett John Kirk

Alison Lam Jennifer Taylor Kyla Lucey Christy de Cilloniz

Jack Masciangelo Dundee Goodman Private Wealth/Dundee

Stephen Meyer
Securities Ltd.
Lorna Mountford
Brian Fast
Gary McDonald
Chris O'Connor
Candace Mordy
Michelle Parker
Madeleine Radford
Carlo K. Rahal
Elaine Anderson
Paul Saks
Brock Bradford

Paul Saks

Robert J. Sheppard

Eric Savics

Robert Blanchard

Tor Schmidt

Tammy Tang

Martin Tielker

Brock Bradford

Bruce Buckland

Robert Blanchard

Stephen Bell

Donny Crodick

Sue Derakhshan

Randal Van Eijnsbergen Corinne Elliott
Frank Zargar David Elliott
Danny Ochoa Dale Eckert
Ardem Keshishian Nelson Forward
Caleb Chan Shalini Fowler
Kai-Chen Yang Tim Ferrit

Richard Forsely

Richard Forsely

E. (Rick) Paolone

Riley Skinner

Robert Bryce

Kevin Gould

Fred Hofman

Lorinda Hoyen

Peter Irvine

Stephanie WeteringsPauline Alison KempJohn WheelerJason KnoblauchTara HassanDavid LyallTodd GibsonAlan Manzie

Antonio Misliarese
Andrew Schneitzer
Mark T. McGinnis
Ted Parker

Daniel Matwell
J. McDermid
Murray McInnes
Grant McDonald

Andrew Grimett Steve McKee
Cale Balfour Kyle McLean
Colette Wood Richard Newbury

Getz Prince Wells LLP

William Trimble

Chris Owen

Duncan W. Boggs

Erroll Wong

John MacPhaul

Brock Daem

Kerry Smith

Ian Worobetz

Tim O'Neill

Chris Owen

Peter J. Ross

A. Rugyin

Ryan Steuart

Kerry Smith

Sonia F. Sheehan

Ian WorobetzSonia F. SheehanBoughton Law CorporationDavid Sangster

David Taylor Cindy Shoenhaar

William Smith Sandra Todd John Tognetti

John Van Stekelenburg

Rob Watts

Haywood Securities Inc.

Prospectors & Developers Association

Marcelin O'Neill Michael Pound

TMX

Otto Folplement Manfred Kurschner

Danny L. Yea

Hari Varshney

Mervyn Pinto

Reid Anderson

Nasm Siu

Tony Frakel

Alex Wong

Joseph Bonvillain

V.A. Tanaka

Paul Reinhart

Larry Timlick

Eric Sloan

Chris McKenzie

Ioanna Tsitos

Jeremy Poirier

Roy McLaughlin

Sean Kelly

Taylor Duncan-Barr

Tim Fennback

P. Walsh

Larry Martin

GB Minerals

Mark A. Smith

Thomas Schuster

Collin Kris

Sukh Athwal

Geoff Balderson

Ryan Johnson

Michael Lum

Alden Aumann

Santo Sidhu

R. Shore

Joseph Ng

Peter Dickie

Roy Trivett Casey Trivett

David Goertz

Brian Ashton

Harley Sinclair

Mani Sanghera

Bruce Maranda

Brian G. Ko

GJ

William Ying

Annex C

Summary of comments received on Multilateral CSA Notice 45-315 Proposed Prospectus Exemption for Certain Distributions through an Investment Dealer

General support

We received 231 comment letters from a variety of market participants, including registrants, professional service providers, industry advocacy groups, issuers and investors.

Almost all commenters supported the proposed exemption. Most of the commenters agreed that the existing prospectus exemption regime results in the majority of private financings being limited to accredited investors. In addition, the vast majority of commenters suggested that the proposed exemption be made available in all Canadian jurisdictions.

1. Use of proposed exemption

224 commenters (97%) indicated that they, their clients, or their members would use the proposed exemption. The most common reason given was that the proposed exemption was one solution to the current regime that effectively precludes most retail investors from participating in private placements.

2. Expansion of exemption to registered exempt market dealers

One of the conditions of the proposed exemption is that the investor must receive suitability advice from a registered investment dealer. We invited comment on whether we should expand the proposed exemption so that investors could also receive suitability advice from a registered exempt market dealer (EMD).

We received 15 comments in response to this question. Only two of the commenters supported expanding the proposed exemption to permit use by EMDs, but neither provided substantive reasons. The 13 commenters that did not support use by EMDs cited a number of reasons. The most common reason given was that the investor protection underlying the proposed exemption is premised on the rigorous IIROC requirements applicable to registered investment dealers.

3. Appropriateness of hold period

Securities issued under the proposed exemption would be subject to resale restrictions (that is, a four-month hold period). We sought comment on whether the four-month hold period is appropriate.

We received 104 responses to this question. Of those, 68 suggested that securities distributed at or above market price should not be subject to resale restrictions. The most common reason given was that investors are already fully informed about the issuer through its continuous disclosure record and the requirement for the investment dealer to provide KYP and KYC advice. Since a potential investor would rely on exactly the same information to purchase on the secondary market without resale restrictions, these commenters argued that there should not be a resale restriction imposed on a purchase using the proposed exemption.

Sixteen commenters indicated that the current four-month hold period was appropriate. An additional 20 commenters suggested various shortened hold periods between one and three months.

As a general comment, 55 commenters submitted that the four-month hold period is obsolete and that the CSA should reconsider its application to all prospectus exemptions.

4. Sufficient Investor Protection

We sought comment on whether the proposed exemption contains sufficient alternative protections such that the prospectus requirement is not necessary. Almost all of the commenters (227) agreed that it is appropriate to remove the prospectus requirement for offerings under the proposed exemption. Their reasons included:

- the standard of care applicable to a suitability review provides investors with a high degree of protection and is consistent with or higher than that provided by other exemptions that are based on the investor's relationship with an issuer or an income and asset threshold
- the considerable compliance effort and expense associated with the current investment dealer regime are not worthwhile if its benefits are not realized by exemptions which acknowledge the value of investment dealer suitability advice
- the cause of action for misrepresentation in an issuer's disclosure under the proposed exemption provides the investor with an avenue for recourse.

One commenter indicated that investor protection was insufficient under the proposed exemption and suggested that suitability is too low a standard to adequately protect retail investors. The commenter was of the view that, in order to meet KYP obligations, the only investment dealers providing advice would be the same dealers participating in the underwriting of the private placement and performing due diligence on the issuer. The result is that the investment dealer would have a conflict of interest. The commenter suggested that the proposed exemption would only be acceptable if the recommendation provided to the retail investor was a result of the investment being in the best interest of the investor, and not simply that it is suitable for the investor. Another commenter supported the proposed exemption but also stressed the importance of implementing a statutory best interest standard on all registrants providing advice.

Another commenter stated that the proposed exemption should not be conditional on investors receiving a positive suitability analysis from a registered dealer to be consistent with the existing KYC rules. A client is able to proceed with a trade on the secondary market even if the dealer determines it is not suitable.

Annex D

List of commenters and summary of comments received on proposed ASC Rule 45-516 Prospectus Exemptions for Retail Investors and Existing Security Holders

List of Commenters

- James Price, CFA, Director Capital Markets Products, Richardson GMP Limited;
- Darrin Hopkins, BA, MBA, Director Co-Head Public Venture Capital Division, Richardson GMP Limited;
- Richard Pong, CFA, Chair, Canadian Advocacy Council for Canadian CFA Institute Societies:
- Susan Copland, B. Comm., LLB, Managing Director on behalf of Investment Industry Association of Canada (IIAC);
- Gordon Keep, Fiore Financial Corporation;
- Ungad Chadda, Senior Vice President, Toronto Stock Exchange and John McCoach, President, TSX Venture Exchange.

Summary of Comments

1. General Support

Commenters expressed strong support for the proposed exemption. Submissions generally said that the exemption would be beneficial to the public venture capital market by helping issuers access capital in a cost-efficient manner, while balancing investor protection interests.

2. Harmonization across Canada

Four of the six submissions expressed strong support for harmonization of the proposed exemption across all jurisdictions in Canada.

3. Making exemption available to issuers listed on other Canadian markets

One commenter expressed concern about the exchanges included in the proposed exemption and two of the commenters expressed support for expanding to other markets. We have not made any changes to the proposed exemption but will monitor use of the exemption for possible future changes.

4. Expanding beyond registered investment dealers

Most submissions expressed a lack of support to expanding the exemption beyond registered investment dealers, citing concerns about investor protection.

5. Investment dealer due diligence

Submissions expressed a lack of support for confirmation from an investment dealer respecting the issuer's statement of no undisclosed material facts. This confirmation would require due diligence on behalf of the investment dealer. One commenter suggested that the issuer is in the proper position to provide such a confirmation and indicated that not providing such a confirmation would be more consistent with similar existing exemptions. Another commenter was concerned about the additional cost and delays associated with such a confirmation.

6. Additional investor protection

One commenter suggested that an issuer be required to provide to subscribers a copy of its most recent annual and quarterly financial statements and management discussion and analysis. As this information would be readily available in the issuer's public disclosure record on SEDAR, we did not make this change.

One commenter suggested implementing a statutory best interest standard for all registrants. This is outside the scope of this exemption but we will consider this comment for future projects.

7. Limit on size of offering

Most submissions did not support specifying a limit on the size of offerings and one commenter suggested that a limit relating to the market capitalization of the issuer be implemented. We have not changed the proposed exemption to include such a limit but will monitor use of the exemption for possible future changes.

8. Limit on Investor's Investment

Most submissions did not support specifying a limit on an investor's investment. One commenter suggested a limit of \$25,000. We have not changed the proposed exemption to include such a limit but will monitor use of the exemption for possible future changes. Several commenters noted that investment dealers are required to provide advice based on suitability and that this should address concerns on this issue.